January 7, 2014

### Connecticut Legislators Task Force meeting for public comments January 9, 2014

To all it may concern;

One of our Founders, Signers, and Second President; John Adams is reported to have said in defense of British Soldiers on trial for the Boston Massacre of 1770;

"Facts are stubborn things, and whatever may be our wishes, our inclinations, or the dictums of our passions, they cannot alter the state of facts and evidence." David McCulloughs' John Adams

And so to today, still rings true, this January 9, 2014. I write no report; I report facts, not all but some.

ł

1. I have been personally present and witness to most if not all Mr. John DiBiase has reported to you as his personal case history with the Danbury Courts from the appointment of Attorney Susan Wicks Dornfield as Mr. Dibiase's child's GAL. I can testify to Mr. DiBiase credibility to accurately describe the numerous events. I can assure you that Mr. DiBiase has only touched the surface of events. One in particular he may not have reported, is during Court Hearing of Attorney Direct Examination of a Doctors examination of the Family unit having 3 Marshalls stand surrounding Mr. DiBiase. One of the Marshall's standing in front of Mr. DiBiase to both distract him, provoke him, and BULLY him with discrimination to Mr. DiBiase's open admission of disabilities and advocacies. Full fledge denial of Fundamental Constitutional Rights and Right to participate as proscribed by the Americans with Disabilities Act.

a. This incident is not to be confused with a later incident Attorney Dornfield may recall and report, that on a hearing date shortly following a shooting of a Chicago Judge that put all American Courts on heighten alert, Mr. DiBiase was followed and surrounded by the Courts Marshalls until we asked why, and where told that Mr. DiBiase had earlier called the Court to express his intolerance to more of the same discrimination and request for this to stop. When informed and explained to Mr. DiBiase his call was not in good timing and regardless was not the correct way to proceed, Mr. DiBiase on record apologized to the Court who accepted and at which time the Marshalls dissipated and a somewhat fruitful Court Hearing preceded.

#### Shared Custody presumption??????

No, its Equal and the Same Custody and decision making and information and time and abilities and physical custody, and enforcement, and mandates. You can't say we give Join or Joint or Shared Custody to parents expecting to get treated as such in ALL matters and knowingly that the non custodial parent or the parent with no physical custody or every other week end custody and the parent with ORDERS to pay or go to jail and than ask the Parent "why didn't you walk your 33,000 pounds of concrete forms the 10, 20, 50, 100 miles each way to the job and pay the Child Support we overcharging you and black listing you and bad credit reporting we making on you instead of putting gas in your truck, instead of paying the insurances, instead of paying taxes?????? Why did you Dead Beat??????? You can't give one parent a dollar and a voice and the respect of society and give the other parent a dollar of debt, no voice and no respect and say we gave you "Join Custody", "Joint Custody", "Shared Custody Presumption". It is never equal and never the same and never right.

Ш

# Task Force and all: 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee, laugh in my face as he stole my babies, my property, my right to Happiness', my great name

I want my babies back, today; I want all my property back, today; I want my Right to Vote for the People and Issues I wish to Vote for back, today; I want my Rights to Past, Present and Future pursuits of Happiness' back, today; I want my Great Name back, today; and I want my respect for that Black Robe back, today!!!!!!! Period. PS, I walked into Court with Health and Life insurance and walked out without it as well.

#### A

## <u>I did not do what I was accused of, decided, opinioned, found, or memorandum. It did not happen, and that has been proven over and over. PERIOD</u>

Some how I escaped the GAL and GATEKEEPER and DOCTORS and other interferences focused mostly today. But my FACTS are more compelling: **31 lies by Walter M. Pickett, Jr., Trial Judge Referee,** a man who calls himself "honorable" but proves in his own writing to be a Bigoted, Biased, Prejudice Liar. A man who is remembered by citizens of his home community as being a Drunkard. If true means he OUI Opinioned Under the Influence; DWI Decided While Under the Influence and removed himself from Constitutional Protections of Article III 'Judges in good behavior'. Judicial Officials will not investigate will not confirm and will not deny. Was Walter M. Pickett, Jr., Trial Judge Referee a drunkard??????? Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee is a liar, 31 times. That's easy proof, Gender and disability discrimination by Judicial and 31 Lies Pickett. Jr. Trial Judge Referee, I proved that to. And there are testimony transcripts by the Plaintiff (Nov. 20, 2007) in my case that what the Plaintiff summed me to the Connecticut Courts and Judicial Branch for, **31 lies Walter M**. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Opinioned and wrote and deceived the People, the Parties, and MY CHILDREN; I did not do and never happened. Was "fears in the head of the Plaintiff based on nothing, that the Plaintiff reacted to", similar to Sensorial Defensiveness' a Hidden Disabilities that has been identified in our children, and identified to **the 31 liar Walter M**. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court who himself wrote discriminately in his Memorandum of Decision.

The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court chose with Gender Discrimination intent, and Disability Discrimination ignorance to slander my great name; kidnap my babies; steal from the citizens, me and my babies (file bankruptcy??????? as identified by Congress as depriving the American People of millions of dollars); caused me to lose my Right to Vote for the people and issues I wish to vote for; and stole my respect for that Black Robe.

# Task Force and all: 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee, laugh in my face as he stole my babies, my property, my right to Happiness', my great name

I want my babies back, today; I want all my property back, today; I want my Right to Vote for the People and Issues I wish to Vote for back, today; I want my Rights to Past, Present and Future pursuits of Happiness' back, today; I want my Great Name back, today; and I want my respect for that Black Robe back, today!IIIII Period. PS, I walked into Court with Health and Life insurance and walked out without it as well. I walked into Court as a property and homeowner and enjoyed wearing business cloths, I walked out without all. I walked into Court enjoying educating my family and myself, I walked out excluded and denied. I walked into Court with a day in and day out relationship with my children good times, ho hum times and bad times, I walked out with none. I walked into Court with a Right to Vote for the issues and people that had a direct effect on my family, I ended up with no voice for this. I walked into Court as a productive member of society and walked out a criminal.

The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court chose with Gender Discrimination intent, and Disability Discrimination ignorance to slander my great name; kidnap my babies; steal from the citizens, me and my babies (file bankruptcy??????? as identified by Congress as depriving the American People of millions of dollars); caused me to lose my Right to Vote for the people and issues I wish to vote for; and stole my respect for that Black Robe.

The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court accepted the parties agreement to "join custody". His words, his acceptance. Our agreement is our language

not his or the Courts. He had to make proper interpretations of my full understanding of the agreement, that being Plaintiff and I equal and the same in all matters in all aspects of the children lives, shared, together not separated not isolated not supremacy to one over the other, not one party ignored by any public or private entity. NOT;

Plaintiff given all the assets and all the money and the Defendant given all the debts and zero or negative assets.

Plaintiff allowed to enroll minor child in private schools unknown to me, and exclude me from Collage enrollments, until its time for financial info and get told to just agree to such and such for the child.

Plaintiff allowed to decide to send child on vacation with strangers unknown to me

Plaintiff allowed to take the child out of USA unknown to me and with not recourses to me to intervene incase of emergency.

Plaintiff to deny me thru the child to attend collage open houses

Plaintiff to speak as sole source and undisputed supplier, including family histories, of information to all Doctors and to refrain from informing me of doctors and doctor recommendations, and credentials' and intents. (not talking emergencies, but more fears in Plaintiff's head based on nothing or a whim)

Withhold the Child from visitations for a year

For schools to hold Plaintiff on an "A" list and me on a "B" list

For Schools to hold parent teacher meetings that include "friends" of plaintiff over my objections and seating and talk directions to Plaintiff and not I

For Police to not notify me of minor child's accident and DUI arrest because they notified Plaintiff

For the Police to withhold the minor Childs records from me

For Court to hold Court against the minor child with out notice to me, with out my presence, and when the Court is notified that I wish to be present and am told by Court personal that the Court will be told of my requests, proceeds anyway.

For the Schools to not recognize my parenting rights to discipline my child in ways equal and the same as School policy for there discipline choices.

Who suffers here, besides society, the child suffers from all the uncertainties' and questions that what the child experiences is not what the child had learned and felt with my involvement.

The child, left to one sided parenting who found that he/she could get away doing substance abuse and questioning abilities and future.

Whose at fault? **The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court** chose with Gender Discrimination intent, and Disability Discrimination ignorance to slander my great name so as to make a criminal of me;

kidnap my babies, their minds not fully developed and with hidden disabilities;

steal from the citizens, me and my babies (file bankruptcy?????? as identified by Congress as depriving the American People of millions of dollars). The Parties held about \$200,000.00 of debt, \$240,000.00 of assets for a total of \$40,000 of equity. Plaintiff was given all assets, I was given all debts. Yes I loss, but so to the Citizens, YOU TASK FORCE MEMBER, because we had the assets to pay you and Connecticut General Statutes mandates we pay you but **The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee Court** chose with Gender Discrimination intent, and Disability Discrimination ignorance to force me into eventual bankruptcy and many other unpaid debts currently near \$500,000.00 while Plaintiff pocketed and spent over \$400,000.00 cash. <u>Nice Job 31 liar Walter M.</u> <u>Pickett Jr. Trial Judge Referee</u>

caused me to lose my past, my present and my future life, liberty, property and happiness;

caused me to lose my Right to Vote for the people and issues I wish to vote for; and

stole my respect for that Black Robe. I walked into Court with full respect and got blindsided.

<u>31 liar Walter M. Pickett Jr. Trial Judge Referee;</u> Respect is earned not demanded

В

Child Support Enforcement? Assuming without deciding or agreeing that I should have ever been subjected to a Child Support Order, <u>I am currently over paid in</u> <u>excess of \$70,000.00</u> of Connecticut Legislator intent and LAW and not equal not the Same as other Child Support Obligators; my Children

- 1. My children where at all times well provided for by a family entity, Plaintiff and I, equal and the same voice and actions", two being one and separately equally and the same, before Judicial Branch interference.
- I wanted each of my children and waited for Plaintiff and I with "equal and same voice" being in the best condition to provide for them before having children.

- 3. Loved and continues LOVE TO EACH today.
- 4. Named each of the Children with equal and same voice as Plaintiff
- 5. and I agree should have been provided for during Judicial Interferences.
- You the Legislators established the Child Support Guidelines AND allow for a Judge to deviate from those Guidelines but has to justify and note such deviations.
- 7. The 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee did deviate over 16% and did not justify any deviation. In addition, He also did not make an order for me to overpay Our CT Child Support Guidelines; however the Child Support Enforcement enforces a NONE EXISTING ORDER
- 8. CHASING ME STILL TODAY FOR MORE,
- 9. MAGISTRATE WILLIAM STRADA HAS SNEAKED INTO COURT
- 10. WITH NO NOTICE TO ME,
- 11. WITHOUT REPRESENTATION OF COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY,
- 12. HAS DISREGARDED CONFIRMED WRITEN AND ORAL NOTICE OF MY REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE AND TO BE HEARD TO CONFONT MY ACCUSER, THE CONNECTICUT ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT
- 13. HOLDS THAT I SHOULD HAVE MODIFIED A NONE EXISTING ORDER
- 14. HAS WITHHELD DECISSION
- 15. AND HAS WITHHELD MAGISTRATES DECISION UNTIL PAST TIME TO APPEAL

#### 16. No equal and same calculation of financials of Plaintiff and I doing business in the exact same field. Plaintiff provided full business deductions, I'm allowed limited and no right to budget equal and same percentages.

Connecticut General Statute, Legislative intent and the Child Support Guidelines provide that out of pocket medical insurance costs incurred by the obligator are already accounted for in the Child Support calculations and guidelines, and as such are nullified (basically subtracted from the support order). You can look it up; it's in your guidelines.

I was ordered to provide the full Medical Dental insurance costs. We had no company employment plan and I had no company employment plan. This cost was directly

from my pocket, I paid to Plaintiff for Plaintiff to buy the insurance Plaintiff found best. Plaintiff shopped and bought, I paid. I could have shopped and bought and paid, nothing says or suggested either, we worked this out to be best, Plaintiff shopped and bought and I paid. \$42.00 a week. Magistrates, Attorney Generals, attorneys' for Plaintiff and Defendant, and Support Enforcement all enforced me to pay this \$42.00 in addition to the Child Support Order.

,

\$39,798.00 or \$42.00 plus \$25.00 (the 16% deviation) = \$67.00 per week above Connecticut General Statutes, Legislative intent, Child Support Guidelines, my ability to pay, my ability to any thing close to equal and the same relationship with my children, any meaningful ability to bring legal correctness or defense attempts. Plus in excess of \$30,000 overpayments from no emancipation as the children became 18 and graduated High School.

Support Enforcement incarcerated me 3 times, once for \$600.00 that Plaintiff took and took children skiing vacation on.

31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee did not calculate the financials correctly and must be held to higher standards. Yes he took the information that was provided, but that information was an accurate snapshot of before his Orders and his Orders made the information an inaccurate snapshot. Financials included assets and credits and liabilities and deductions based on ownership of a profitable business and home and 16 acres of land and two persons working as one. When 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee stole this and this was no longer mine, my financials can not and were not the same as before. For 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee to set Child Support Obligations based on what was stolen by him is just plain crooked. It's a fraud and unsustainable accounting. And than laugh about this, and jail me, and make me pay 7 times for what was taken from me, so that he and the Judicial Branch can make criminal of law abiding FATHER. So he and Judicial Branch can carry a scheme to cover up by stealing all assets a person has so to deny meaningful or participation "proper appeals" or meaningful representation??????? And Support Enforcement can boast of 18% profits. And Support Enforcements' (Charrise Huttun phonic spelling) can boast to the Legislative Task Force on Fatherlessness that 100% of fathers are made non custodial obligators while 0% (zero%) of Mothers are made non custodial obligators. Ms Huttun used 'a little over 90% fathers and a little less than 10% mothers. Close enough to 100% fathers and zero % mothers. Not close to equal and the same.

No part of what I write or say is to suggest that I am against Child Support or that I am above or below Child Support. I do insist rather that Equal and The Same and Equal Protection and Due Process and Fourteenth Amendment Rights are the Rule Of Law and are to be applied, not neglected, not prohibited, not ignored, not provided to one side and not the other.

I do insist that FACTS being that I did not do what I was accused of AND in fact that none of it ever happened and was just made up by Plaintiff Disability, or that **31 liar Walter**  **M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee** opinioned based on Gender and Disability Discrimination (and maybe Drunken State of being and mind) to support his 31 lies, frauds and discriminations over facts nullifies and over rules his Opinions.

IV

I have proved and others have identified and confirmed that the Connecticut Judicial Branch is in non-compliances and violation of The Americans with Disabilities Act ( ADA ). Not a little bit but since January 26, 1992 fully. Yes today from the complaints, efforts, and requests for Americans with Disabilities Act Rights from citizens including myself, newly appointed Chief Court Administrator Judge Patrick Carroll, III will report the Judicial Branch smoke screen of as his words say "continuing compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act". But look at his Americans with Disabilities Act Public Access Committee home page and minutes of meetings and see how the deny the disabled litigants to address the very forum the Judicial Branch has created under 28 CFR PART 35.107(a) Designated Responsible Employee. Judge Carroll, III, committee act as the Designated Responsible Employee today but denies this program, service, activity and Federal Regulation Right to those most in need of the Fundamental Right To Access Our Courts. In addition, this is only a recent committee following Justice Rodges Stratigic Plan adoption. LEMETED SELECT ACTEON (BM) There was no Designated Responsible Employee; no Writen Grievance Policy 28 CFR PART 35.107(b); no notices of ADA Rights, 28 CFR PART 35.106; no Self Evaluations 28 CFR PART 35.105; no any part of Title II of The Americans with Disabilities Act and its implementing Regulations on January 26, 1992, April 19, 1995, and thru to today. Over 200 instances in my case alone, over 97 individual entities in the Judicial Branch, affecting my 5 person family metrics.

۷

## Task Force and all: 31 liar Walter M. Pickett, Jr. Trial Judge Referee, laugh in my face as he stole my babies, my property, my right to Happiness', my great name

I want my babies back, today; I want all my property back, today; I want my Right to Vote for the People and Issues I wish to Vote for back, today; I want my Rights to Past, Present and Future pursuits of Happiness' back, today; I want my Great Name back, today; and I want my respect for that Black Robe back, today!!!!!!! Period. PS, I walked into Court with Health and Life insurance and walked out without it as well.

Yours For Barrier Free Courts With Sober and Honest Judges, And Non Discriminatory Attorneys;

Bill Mulready